DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 116 739 JC 760 058

AUTHOR Basonic, Lorraine
TITLE A Descriptive Analysis of Students in Academic Difficulty at the Harrisburg Area Community College.
INSTITUTION Harrisburg Area Community Coll., Pa.
PUB DATE Mar 75
NOTE 58p.

EDRS PRICE MF-$0.76 HC-$3.32 Plus Postage
DESCRIPTORS *Academic Failure; *Academic Probation; College Credits; Educational Policy; Grade Point Average; Grades (Scholastic); *Junior Colleges; Low Achievers; *Suspension
IDENTIFIERS Harrisburg Area Community College

ABSTRACT

The academic suspension policy at Harrisburg Area Community College prescribes minimum grade point averages which must be maintained for students to remain academically eligible. In order to study the effectiveness of this policy, the faculty council held it in abeyance for a period of three semesters. The intent of this research was to compare the academic progress of those students placed on suspension and readmitted after a period of nonacademic activity (Group 1), and those students who would ordinarily have been suspended, but were permitted to re-enroll during the subsequent semester (Group 2). Variables identified included: demographic data, curriculum admitted to, current curriculum; semester suspended, GPA of suspension semester, credits carried during suspension semester; readmit date; GPA's first and second semester after readmit, credits taken those semesters; cumulative GPA, cumulative credits, and graduation. During the period of spring 1970 to fall 1975, 1,302 students were suspended, of whom 259 returned to school, and 21 graduated. In Group 1, 82 percent did not return, and in Group 2, 77 percent did not return. The success (measured by GPA) of students after readmission was lower in Group 2 than in Group 1. Data are organized into 46 tables on the basis of the variables identified above. (NHM)

****
* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished *
* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort *
* to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal *
* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality *
* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available *
* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not *
* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *
* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. *
****

ED116739

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

A DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS

OF

STUDENTS IN ACADEMIC DIFFICULTY

AT THE

HARRISBURG AREA COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Lorraine Basonic
March 1975

JC 760 058

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
List of Tables	ii
Introduction	1
Definitions	1
Review of Literature	2
Section I	
The Charge	5
Limitations	6
Section II: Comparison of Groups	7
Section III: Highlights of Group 1	8
Section IV: Highlights of Group 2	10
Appendix	11
Bibliography	52

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
	Group 1 - Spring 1970 to Fall 1971	
1.	Percent of Males and Females Within Each Group	12
2.	Percent of Total Males and Females by Group	12
3.	Marital Status by Percent Within Each Group	13
4.	Marital Status by Percent by Group	13
5.	Veterans Status by Percent Within Each Group	14
6.	Veterans Status by Percent by Group	14
7.	Grade-Point Average, Semester of Suspension	15
8.	Grade-Point Average, Semester of Suspension	16
9.	Grade-Point Average, Re-Admit Semester	17
10.	Grade-Point Average, Re-Admit Semester	18
11.	Grade-Point Average, Second Semester After Re-Admit	19
12.	Grade-Point Average, Second Semester After Re-Admit	20
13.	Cumulative Grade-Point Average (Final)	21
14.	Cumulative Grade-Point Average (Final)	22
15.	Credits Carried, Semester of Suspension	23
16.	Credits Carried, Semester of Suspension	24
17.	Credits Carried, Re-Admit Semester	25
18.	Credits Carried, Re-Admit Semester	26
19.	Credits Carried, Second Semester After Re-Admit	27
20.	Credits Carried, Second Semester After Re-Admit	28
21.	Cumulative Credits (Final)	29
22.	Cumulative Credits (Final)	30
23.	Number and Percent of Graduates	31

Group 2 - Spring 1972 to Fall 1974

24.	Percent of Males and Females Within Each Group	32
25.	Percent of Total Males and Females by Group	32
26.	Marital Status by Percent Within Each Group	33
27.	Marital Status by Percent by Group	33
28.	Veterans Status by Percent Within Each Group	34
29.	Veterans Status by Percent by Group	34
30.	Grade-Point Average, Semester of Suspension	35
31.	Grade-Point Average, Semester of Suspension	36
32.	Grade-Point Average, Re-Admit Semester	37
33.	Grade-Point Average, Re-Admit Semester	38
34.	Grade-Point Average, Second Semester After Re-Admit	39
35.	Grade-Point Average, Second Semester After Re-Admit	40
36.	Cumulative Grade-Point Average (Final)	41
37.	Cumulative Grade-Point Average (Final)	42
38.	Credits Carried, Semester of Suspension	43
39.	Credits Carried, Semester of Suspension	44
40.	Credits Carried, Re-Admit Semester	45
41.	Credits Carried, Re-Admit Semester	46
42.	Credits Carried, Second Semester After Re-Admit	47
43.	Credits Carried, Second Semester After Re-Admit	48
44.	Cumulative Credits (Final)	49
45.	Cumulative Credits (Final)	50
46.	Number and Percent of Graduates	51

INTRODUCTION

In May 1973, the Faculty Council at Harrisburg Area Community College passed a proposal to suspend the Suspension Policy in order to develop a study group for a study of the said policy. (See Appendix A) According to the proposal, the Suspension Policy was to be held in abeyance for three semesters only. The period of abeyance ended December 30, 1974.

In this paper I intend to compare the academic progress of those students who would ordinarily have been suspended, but were permitted to re-enroll during the subsequent semester and those students placed on suspension and readmitted after a period of non-academic activity.

DEFINITIONS

ACADEMIC SUSPENSION POLICY:

A student must maintain a cumulative grade point average according to the following schedule to remain eligible for continued attendance at the College:

Average	Credit Hours Attempted
1.00	0-12
1.20	13-24
1.40	25-36
1.60	37-48
1.80	48-60
2.00	61 and above

A student who fails to meet these levels shall be suspended for a period of one semester, after which he may apply for readmission.

If a student who is suspended has some proof of there being extenuating circumstances, he may appeal his

suspension.

This appeal must be made not later than the third day of the semester following suspension. Further information is available from the office of the Director of Admissions and Records.

Each student who obtains less than a 2.00 average at mid-term shall be sent a letter of warning, calling his attention to his low achievement and reminding him of the standards set forth above. Upon receipt of such a letter, the student should consult his advisor about his status.

Each student placed on probation or suspension shall be notified by letter and a copy placed in his personnel folder.

A student at the Harrisburg Area Community College who does not meet academic standards during his attendance at a summer session, may be placed on academic probation or suspension according to the provisions stated above.

ACADEMIC PROGRESS

Progress will be determined by comparing end of semester Grade Point Averages.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Reviewing relevant literature, I found that research on the success of readmitted students was done primarily in the Sixties. I could not find anything relevant done in recent years. Findings in the studies were not consistent; however, the groups studied had different characteristics which probably account for the inconsistencies.

ED 015 735

Remedial reading courses led to the success of students readmitted to California Public Junior Colleges after dismissal from the University of California. The major cause of suspension was poor reading ability. The sample group were students who had superior high school grades.

ED 013 601

Of 351 transfer students who were on a probationary status, 55% were later successful, i.e., removing themselves from probationary status. Age was unrelated to success. Students met greater success in summer sessions than in regular. No relationship was found between degree of success and a waiting period before re-entry. Reduction of study load after transfer appeared related to failure to achieve regular status.

Campbell & Holm:

"There is a definite likelihood of an academic improvement following a period of absence from the campus." Campbell & Holm also found that the greater the period of absence, the more improvement, up to three years.

ED 010 734

The performance of readmitted students on probation at Los Angeles City College who had stayed out of school for a semester or more did not differ from those who had not.

Lautz, MacLean, Vaugh and Oliver

"The principal conclusions to be derived... ... are that, for the population of academic failures characterized by average high school achievement and average scholastic

aptitude, specific academic skills are important, past academic record seems unimportant, and a general factor called incentive seems important in the attainment of passing grades upon readmission."

Section I: The Charge

Compare the progress of students who were suspended prior to May, 1973, with those suspended after May, 1973. Progress will be determined by semester GPA over two semesters of work.

Once I began the study, I discovered that the suspension policy had not been enforced from the time of the flood (summer, 1972). Therefore, I identified the following 7 groups of students.

Group 1 - Pre-Spring 1972 (Spring 1970 to and including Fall 1971)
- a. Those who were suspended but re-admitted without sitting out a semester (re-instated students).
- b. Those who did not return.
- c. Those who returned after sitting out one semester.
- d. Those who returned after sitting out more than one semester.

Group 2 - Spring 1972 up to and including Fall 1974
- a. Those who returned the next semester (i.e., that spent no time out).
- b. Those who did not return.
- c. Those who returned after sitting out one semester.

After identifying the above groups, I identified the variables in the following order.

1. Social Security Number
2. Curriculum admitted to
3. Current curriculum
4. Marital status
5. Veterans status
6. Age
7. Sex
8. Semester Suspended
9. GPA of suspension semester
10. Credits carried during suspension semester
11. First readmit date
12. Next semester after re-admit
13. GPA that semester
14. Credits taken that semester
15. Second Semester after re-admit
16. GPA that semester
17. Credit hours that semester
18. Cumulative GPA
19. Cumulative credits
20. Graduation (yes-no)

LIMITATIONS

Some of the confounding variables I considered are as follows:

1. What activity did the student pursue between suspension and readmission?

2. Are grading practices different now than in the past?

3. What courses is the student taking now - what did he take before suspension?

4. Is any difference due to natural maturation of the student?

5. What kinds of personal problems such as family, financial, emotional, etc. affect a student's progress?

6. What is the effect of or the threat of suspension on a student?

There are also forces such as motivation,aptitude, academic skills, etc.,which probably affect a student's success or failure which I have not defined.

Section II: Comparison of Groups

One major confounding variable is the fact that many eligible for suspension were not suspended even before the policy was dropped in 1972. However, this change did have an impact in that the GPA's of the never-left group shift from 50 percent below 1.0 in Group 1-A to 75 percent below 1.0 in Group 2-A.

The distribution of GPA's during the subsequent semester after the suspension semester was higher for Group 1-A than for Group 2-A, which may be an artifact of the previous point.

The distribution of GPA's for students who returned after sitting out for one semester were different in that Group 2-C generally had lower GPA's than did Group 1-C, which may be a continuation of the previously-mentioned artifact.

There is a much higher percentage of low (all below 1.0) GPA's during the second semester after re-admission in Group 2-A (89%) than in Group 1-A (56%) and in Group 2-C (89%) than in Group 1-C (54%). Note that this is virtually all drop-outs in each case and is not an accurate GPA. But, it does show that the majority of these suspended students drop out eventually.

The success (measured by GPA) of suspended students after re-admission regardless of time spent out is lower in Group 2 than in Group 1.

The reader should keep in mind that overall 80 percent of all suspended students did not return to the College regardless of policy. In Group 1, 82 percent did not return, and in Group 2, 77 percent did not return.

During the period of Spring 1969-70 to end of Fall 1974-75, 1302 students were suspended. Of this number, 259 returned to H.A.C.C. Of the 1302 students, 21 subsequently graduated. Graduation may or may not have been the students' goal.

Section III: Highlights of Group 1 (Tables 1-23)

1. There seems to be few differences between sub-groups of Group 1 by sex. For example, 82.4 percent of the males never returned compared to 81.5 percent of the females (Table 2).

2. There are relatively slight differences between married and single students. The only noticeable difference is that a slightly larger percentage of single people never returned compared to married people (82.7 percent single, 76.8 percent married). (Table 4)

3. The differences between veterans and non-veterans are also slight. Non-veterans tend to never return at a slightly higher rate (83.1 percent) than veterans (75.5 percent). (Table 6)

4. There is a direct relationship between the GPA at the semester of suspension and the probability of continuance or return at a later date. If a student had a GPA of 1.5 or below, the probability is better than 3 out of 4 that he will not return. If the GPA is higher than 1.5, the probability is approximately 1 out of 2 that he will not return. It should be pointed out that the vast majority, approximately 95 percent of the students, are below a 1.5. (Table 8)

5. At the end of the re-admit semester, of those who did not sit out (that is, never left), approximately 50 percent had a GPA of less than 1.0 for that re-admit semester. On the other hand, approximately 18 percent had a GPA of above 2.5. Of those who returned after one semester, approximately 33 percent had a GPA below 1.0 in their re-admit semester, and 32 percent had GPA above 2.5. Returning after 2 or more semesters, 33 percent were below a 1.0 GPA, and 38 percent were above a 2.5 GPA (Table 9).

6. Looking at second semester data on GPA after re-admission, the following can be ascertained. Of those who never left, 56 percent were below a 1.0 the second semester after they were re-admitted, and 4 percent were above a 2.5. Of those who returned after sitting out one semester, 54 percent were below a 1.0 the second semester after they were re-admitted, while 8 percent were above a 2.5. Finally, of those who sat out 2 or more semesters, 75 percent were below a 1.0 their second semester after re-admission, and 10 percent were above a 2.5.

   It must be noted that a large majority of the 73.7 percent below 0.5 were not enrolled the second semester, so, in short, they dropped out. Of the 57 who returned after 2 or more semesters, approximately 30 never came back after their re-admit semester leaving any additional percentages among this group suspect in light of how few students are involved. Note that 8 of the 30 could not have had a second re-admit semester because of the timing (Table 11).

7. This section deals with the credits carried at the semester of suspension. Of those who never returned, approximately 45 percent carried more than 11 credits, i.e. were full-time. Of those who did not sit out a semester, 33 percent were full-time students, and of those who sat out one semester, 50 percent were full-time. Finally, of those who sat out 2 or more semesters, 28 percent were full-time at the semester of suspension. (Table 15).

8. This item deals with credits carried during the re-admit semester. Of those who never left, 28 percent carried 12 or more credits after their re-admit semester. Of those who returned after 1 semester out, 29 percent carried 12 credits and none carried more. Finally, of those who sat out 2 or more semesters, 16 percent carried 12 or more credits. Therefore, the majority of students that we have been working with were re-admitted on a part-time status. (Table 17)

9. In Group 1, of 761 suspended students, 19 ultimately graduated (2.5 percent) which is not surprising in light of the number of part-timers.

Section IV: Highlights of Group 2 (Tables 24-46)

1. The only sex differences that we see is that a relatively high percentage of females never return, 81.6 percent, compared to males, 75.2 percent (Table 25).

2. The major difference between married and non-married students is that married students, when they become eligible for suspension, never return at a higher rate (83 percent) than single students (75.2 percent) (Table 27).

3. Slight differences are noted between non-veterans and veterans in that 78.2 percent of the non-veterans never returned compared to 72.4 percent of the veterans (Table 29).

4. At the semester of suspension there is a direct relationship between GPA and whether or not a student returns. Of those with a GPA below 0.5, 83 percent never return. Of those with a GPA between 0.5 and 1.5, approximately 60 percent never return. There are relatively few students (15) above a 1.5 GPA (Table 31).

5. At the end of the first semester after supposed suspension, the never-lefts demonstrated the following GPA's: 52 percent had a GPA below 1.0, and 14 percent had a GPA above 2.5. The re-admit semester of those who sat out one semester had 41 percent with a GPA below 0.5 while 30 percent (or 8 individuals) were above 2.5 GPA (Table 32).

6. This item deals with the GPA the second semester after re-admit. The vast majority of those who never left had a 0.0 for the second semester after re-admit implying that they never enrolled for a second semester. The numbers who did are so few that little can be drawn from them, although 5 actually were above a 2.5 GPA. Of those who sat out one semester, the majority never made it to a second semester to have a GPA. Of those who did, all were below a 2.0 (Table 34).

7. At the semester of suspension, of those who never left approximately one-third were full-time. Of those who never returned, 26 percent were full-time students, and, of those who sat out one semester, 21 percent were full-time students. The reader should keep in mind that the vast majority were in Group 2-B, those who never returned (Table 38).

8. This item deals with credits carried during the re-admit semester. Twenty-two percent of the never-lefts were full-time in their re-admit semester, whereas only 10 percent (or actually, 3) students who stepped out a semester returned carrying a full load (Table 40).

   Of those who had a second semester after being re-admitted, only 2 people carried a full load. The rest were carrying less than 12 credits (Table 42).

9. Of all the sub-groups, there were only 2 graduates and these were among those who never left. At the same time it should be noticed that one would not expect too many graduates out of this group in light of the relatively small portion who were full-time (Table 46).

STUDY OF CURRENT SUSPENSION POLICY

Each year about this time, a cry of criticism is heard from faculty and counselors concerning the current academic suspension policy. Since Admissions and Records Office is generally responsible for enforcement of the policy, I would like to propose several questions and suggestions which might be helpful.

1. The community college cannot duplicate every experimental program offered by the four year institutions - the community college must be accountable to the four year institutions for transfer and further must be accountable to the sponsoring districts who finance the program.

2. Most of the institutions who have initiated non-punitive systems of suspension are highly selective in admissions and do not need to demonstrate the same accountability as the community college.

3. Little research is available which applies directly to the community college students. Although I believe that we must change the educational process by creating new and dynamic methods, I do not agree that all practices of the four year institution should predict the course of action we follow.

With this in mind, I would like to request the faculty's permission through the Admission and Academic Standing Committee to hold the current academic suspension policy in abeyance for three semesters in order to develop a sample group for a study of said policy.

Our intent at this time would be to notify the student that his progress to date would not enable him to meet the graduation requirements. These students who re-enroll for the succeding semester would be tallied and progress would be determined by semester average and G. P. A. over the next two semesters.

Another group would be established from students placed on suspension but who returned after a period of non-academic activity. This study would not include returning veterans. If permission for this type of study is granted it is hoped that the committee would have sufficient evidence to consider changes in the current policy. During the period of the study, the current policy would appear in the college catalogue and other documents of the college but would not be enforced. The students involved in the study would not be notified of their involvement. However, if outside agencies request information on the individual, they must be aware of the academic status and the suspension policy.

Written and Proposed by John Ford
Approved by Faculty Council, May 21, 1973

TABLE 1
PERCENT OF MALES AND FEMALES WITHIN EACH GROUP
SPRING 1970 TO FALL 1971

	Never left		Never ret'd		Returned after 1 sem		Returned after 2 or more sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Males	41	75.9	498	79.5	20	83.3	45	78.9	604	79.4
Females	13	24.1	128	20.4	4	16.7	12	21.0	157	20.6
Total	54	100.0	626	100.0	24	100.0	57	100.0	761	100.0

TABLE 2
PERCENT OF TOTAL MALES AND FEMALES BY GROUP
SPRING 1970 TO FALL 1971

	Males		Females		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	41	6.7	13	8.3	54	7.1
Never returned	498	82.4	128	81.5	626	82.3
Returned after 1 semester	20	3.3	4	2.5	24	3.1
Returned after 2 or more semesters	45	7.5	12	7.6	57	7.5
Total	604	100.0	157	100.0	761	100.0

TABLE 3
MARITAL STATUS BY PERCENT WITHIN EACH GROUP
SPRING 1970 TO FALL 1971

	Never left		Never ret'd		Returned after 1 sem		Returned after 2 or more sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Single	45	83.3	552	88.2	20	83.3	50	87.7	667	87.6
Married	8	14.8	63	10.1	4	16.7	7	12.3	82	10.8
Other	1	1.8	11	1.7	0	0	0	0	12	1.6
Total	54	100.0	626	100.0	24	100.0	57	100.0	761	100.0

TABLE 4
MARITAL STATUS BY PERCENT BY GROUP
SPRING 1970 TO FALL 1971

	Single		Married		Other		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	45	6.7	8	9.7	1	8.3	54	7.1
Never returned	552	82.7	63	76.8	11	91.7	626	82.3
Returned after 1 semester	20	3.0	4	4.9	0	0	24	3.1
Returned after 2 or more semesters	50	7.5	7	8.5	0	0	57	7.5
Total	667	100.0	82	100.0	12	100.0	761	100.0

TABLE 5
VETERANS STATUS BY PERCENT WITHIN EACH GROUP
SPRING 1970 TO FALL 1971

	Never left		Never ret'd		Returned after 1 sem		Returned after 2 or more sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Vet	9	16.7	68	10.9	3	12.5	10	17.5	90	11.8
Non-vet	45	83.3	558	89.1	21	87.5	47	82.4	671	88.2
Total	54	100.0	626	100.0	24	100.0	57	100.0	761	100.0

TABLE 6
VETERANS STATUS BY PERCENT BY GROUP
SPRING 1970 TO FALL 1971

	Vet		Non-vet		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	9	10.0	45	6.7	54	7.1
Never returned	68	75.5	558	83.1	626	82.3
Returned after 1 semester	3	3.3	21	3.1	24	3.1
Returned after 2 or more semesters	10	11.1	47	7.0	57	7.5
Total	90	100.0	671	100.0	761	100.0

TABLE 7
GRADE-POINT AVERAGE, SEMESTER OF SUSPENSION
SPRING 1970 to FALL 1971

	Never left		Never ret'd		Returned after 1 sem		Returned after 2 or more sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
0.00-0.50	18	33.3	471	75.2	13	54.2	38	66.7	540	70.9
0.51-1.00	9	16.7	98	15.6	8	33.3	13	22.8	128	16.8
1.01-1.50	11	20.4	39	6.2	1	4.2	2	3.5	53	7.0
1.51-2.00	8	14.8	12	1.9	1	4.2	4	7.0	25	3.3
2.01-up	8	14.8	6	1.0	1	4.2	0	0	15	2.0
Total	54	100.0	626	100.0	24	100.0	57	100.0	761	100.0

TABLE 8
GRADE-POINT AVERAGE, SEMESTER OF SUSPENSION
SPRING 1970 to FALL 1971

	0.00-0.50		0.51-1.00		1.01-1.50	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	18	3.3	9	7.0	11	20.7
Never returned	471	87.2	98	76.5	39	73.6
Returned after 1 semester	13	2.4	8	6.2	1	1.9
Returned after 2 or more semesters	38	7.0	13	10.1	2	3.8
Total	540	100.0	128	100.0	53	100.0

	1.51-2.00		2.01-up		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	8	32.0	8	53.3	54	7.1
Never returned	12	48.0	6	40.0	626	82.3
Returned after 1 semester	1	4.0	1	6.7	24	3.1
Returned after 2 or more semesters	4	16.0	0	0	57	7.5
Total	25	100.0	15	100.0	761	100.0

TABLE 9
GRADE-POINT AVERAGE, RE-ADMIT SEMESTER
SPRING 1970 TO FALL 1971

	Never left		Never ret'd		Returned after 1 sem		Returned after 2 or more sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
0.00-0.50	24	44.4	626	100.0	7	29.2	16	28.1	673	88.4
0.51-1.00	4	7.4	0	0	1	4.2	3	5.3	8	1.1
1.01-1.50	3	5.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.4
1.51-2.00	7	13.0	0	0	5	20.8	9	15.8	21	2.7
2.01-2.50	6	11.1	0	0	3	12.5	7	12.3	16	2.1
2.51-3.00	5	9.3	0	0	4	16.7	15	26.3	24	3.1
3.01-3.50	3	5.5	0	0	1	4.2	6	10.5	10	1.3
3.51-4.00	2	3.7	0	0	3	12.5	1	1.7	6	0.8
Total	54	100.0	626	100.0	24	100.0	57	100.0	761	100.0

TABLE 10
GRADE-POINT AVERAGE, RE-ADMIT SEMESTER
SPRING 1970 to FALL 1971

	0.00-0.50		0.51-1.00		1.01-1.50		1.51-2.00		2.01-2.50	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	24	3.6	4	50.0	3	100.0	7	33.3	6	37.5
Never returned	626	93.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Returned after 1 semester	7	1.0	1	12.5	0	0	5	23.8	3	18.7
Returned after 2 or more semesters	16	2.4	3	37.5	0	0	9	42.9	7	43.8
Total	673	100.0	8	100.0	3	100.0	21	100.0	16	100.0

	2.51-3.00		3.01-3.50		3.51-4.00		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	5	20.8	3	30.0	2	33.3	54	7.1
Never returned	0	0	0	0	0	0	626	82.3
Returned after 1 semester	4	16.7	1	10.0	3	50.0	24	3.1
Returned after 2 or more semesters	15	62.5	6	60.0	1	16.7	57	7.5
Total	24	100.0	10	100.0	6	100.0	761	100.0

TABLE 11
GRADE-POINT AVERAGE, SECOND SEMESTER AFTER RE-ADMIT
SPRING 1970 TO FALL 1971

	Never left		Never ret'd		Returned after 1 sem		Returned after 2 or more sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
0.00[a]	37	54.0	626	100.0	13	54.2	35	61.4	711	93.4
0.01-0.50	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	12.3	7	0.9
0.51-1.00	1	1.9	0	0	0	0	1	1.7	2	0.3
1.01-1.50	0	0	0	0	2	8.3	1	1.7	3	0.4
1.51-2.00	5	9.3	0	0	3	12.5	5	8.8	13	1.7
2.01-2.50	9	16.7	0	0	4	16.7	2	3.5	15	2.0
2.51-3.00	0	0	0	0	2	8.3	4	7.0	6	0.8
3.01-3.50	2	3.7	0	0	0	0	1	1.7	3	0.4
3.51-4.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1.7	1	0.1
Total	54	100.0	626	100.0	24	100.0	57	100.0	761	100.0

[a] These are 0.00 GPA's, most of which were not enrolled a second semester

Note: At the time of this data, the following students were enrolled for their first semester of re-admit:

Never left	Never ret'd	Returned after 1 sem	Returned after 2 or more sem	Total
2	0	2	8	12

TABLE 12
GRADE-POINT AVERAGE, SECOND SEMESTER AFTER RE-ADMIT
SPRING 1970 TO FALL 1971

	0.00		0.01-0.50		0.51-1.00		1.01-1.50		1.51-2.00	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	37	5.2	0	0	1	50.0	0	0	5	38.5
Never returned	626	88.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Returned after 1 semester	13	1.8	0	0	0	0	2	66.7	3	23.1
Returned after 2 or more semesters	35	4.9	7	100.0	1	50.0	1	33.3	5	38.5
Total	711	100.0	7	100.0	2	100.0	3	100.0	13	100.0

	2.01-2.50		2.51-3.00		3.01-3.50		3.51-4.00		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	9	60.0	0	0	2	66.7	0	0	54	7.1
Never returned	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	626	82.3
Returned after 1 semester	4	26.7	2	33.3	0	0	0	0	24	3.1
Returned after 2 or more semesters	2	13.3	4	66.7	1	33.3	1	100.0	57	7.5
Total	15	100.0	6	100.0	3	100.0	1	100.0	761	100.0

TABLE 13
CUMULATIVE GRADE-POINT AVERAGE (FINAL)
SPRING 1970 TO FALL 1971

	Never left		Never ret'd		Returned after 1 sem		Returned after 2 or more sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
0.00-0.50	8	14.8	286	45.7	3	12.5	10	17.5	307	40.3
0.51-1.00	10	18.5	145	23.2	1	4.2	11	19.3	167	21.9
1.01-1.50	11	20.4	126	20.1	11	45.8	15	26.3	163	21.4
1.51-2.00	14	25.9	69	11.0	5	20.8	16	28.1	104	13.7
2.01-2.50	8	14.8	0	0	4	16.7	5	8.8	17	2.2
2.51-3.00	2	3.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.3
3.01-3.50	1	1.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.1
3.51-4.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	54	100.0	626	100.0	24	100.0	57	100.0	761	100.0

TABLE 14
CUMULATIVE GRADE-POINT AVERAGE (FINAL)
SPRING 1970 to FALL 1971

	0.00-0.50		0.51-1.00		1.01-1.50		1.51-2.00		2.01-2.50	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	8	2.6	10	6.0	11	6.7	14	13.5	8	47.0
Never returned	286	93.1	145	86.8	126	77.3	69	66.3	0	0
Returned after 1 semester	3	1.0	1	0.6	11	6.7	5	4.8	4	23.5
Returned after 2 or more semesters	10	3.3	11	6.6	15	9.2	16	15.4	5	29.4
Total	307	100.0	167	100.0	163	100.0	104	100.0	17	100.0

	2.51-3.00		3.01-3.50		3.51-4.00		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	2	100.0	1	100.0	0	0	54	7.1
Never returned	0	0	0	0	0	0	626	82.3
Returned after 1 semester	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	3.1
Returned after 2 or more semesters	0	0	0	0	0	0	57	7.5
Total	2	100.0	1	100.0	0	0	761	100.0

TABLE 15
CREDITS CARRIED, SEMESTER OF SUSPENSION
SPRING 1970 TO FALL 1971

	Never left		Never ret'd		Returned after 1 sem		Returned after 2 or more sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
0 - 1	1	1.9	8	1.3	0	0	2	3.5	11	1.4
2 - 4	9	16.7	124	19.8	3	12.5	11	19.3	147	19.3
5 - 7	9	16.7	111	17.7	5	20.8	13	22.8	138	18.1
8 - 10	16	29.6	109	17.4	4	16.7	15	26.3	144	18.9
11 - 13	14	25.9	183	29.2	8	33.3	9	15.8	214	28.1
14 - up	5	9.3	91	14.5	4	16.7	7	12.3	107	14.1
Total	54	100.0	626	100.0	24	100.0	57	100.0	761	100.0

TABLE 16
CREDITS CARRIED, SEMESTER OF SUSPENSION
SPRING 1970 TO FALL 1971

	0 - 1		2 - 4		5 - 7		8 - 10	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	1	9.1	9	6.1	9	6.5	16	11.1
Never returned	8	72.7	124	84.3	111	80.4	109	75.7
Returned after 1 semester	0	0	3	2.0	5	3.6	4	2.8
Returned after 2 or more semesters	2	18.2	11	7.5	13	9.4	15	10.4
Total	11	100.0	147	100.0	138	100.0	144	100.0

	11 - 13		14 - up		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	14	6.5	5	4.7	54	7.1
Never returned	183	85.5	91	85.0	626	82.3
Returned after 1 semester	8	3.7	4	3.7	24	3.1
Returned after 2 or more semesters	9	4.2	7	6.5	57	7.5
Total	214	100.0	107	100.0	761	100.0

TABLE 17
CREDITS CARRIED, RE-ADMIT SEMESTER
SPRING 1970 to FALL 1971

	Never left		Never ret'd		Returned after 1 sem		Returned after 2 or more sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
0 - 1	5	9.3	626	100.0	5	20.8	8	14.0	644	84.6
2 - 4	15	27.8	0	0	3	12.5	19	33.3	37	4.9
5 - 7	10	18.5	0	0	7	29.2	10	17.5	27	3.5
8 - 10	9	16.7	0	0	2	8.3	11	19.3	22	2.9
11 - 13	11	20.4	0	0	7	29.2	5	8.8	23	3.0
14 - up	4	7.4	0	0	0	0	4	7.0	8	1.1
Total	54	100.0	626	100.0	24	100.0	57	100.0	761	100.0

TABLE 18
CREDITS CARRIED, RE-ADMIT SEMESTER
SPRING 1970 TO FALL 1971

	0 - 1		2 - 4		5 - 7		8 - 10	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	5	0.8	15	40.5	10	37.0	9	40.9
Never returend	626	97.2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Returned after 1 semester	5	0.8	3	8.1	7	25.9	2	9.1
Returned after 2 or more semesters	8	1.2	19	51.3	10	37.0	11	50.0
Total	644	100.0	37	100.0	27	100.0	22	100.0

	11 - 13		14 - up		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	11	47.8	4	50.0	54	7.1
Never returned	0	0	0	0	626	82.3
Returned after 1 semester	7	30.4	0	0	24	3.1
Returned after 2 or more semesters	5	21.7	4	50.0	57	7.5
Total	23	100.0	8	100.0	761	100.0

TABLE 19
CREDITS CARRIED, SECOND SEMESTER AFTER RE-ADMIT
SPRING 1970 to FALL 1971

	Never left		Never ret'd		Returned after 1 sem		Returned after 2 or more sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	35	64.8	626	100.0	9	37.5	37	64.7	707	92.9
1	0	0	0	0	1	4.2	0	0	1	0.1
2 - 4	4	7.4	0	0	3	12.5	8	14.0	15	2.0
5 - 7	4	7.4	0	0	5	20.8	1	1.7	10	1.3
8 - 10	3	5.5	0	0	1	4.2	5	8.8	9	1.2
11 - 13	3	5.5	0	0	2	8.3	4	7.0	9	1.2
14 - up	5	9.3	0	0	3	12.5	2	3.5	10	1.3
Total	54	100.0	626	100.0	24	100.0	57	100.0	761	100.0

Note: At the time of the data, the following numbers of students were enrolled for their first semester of re-admit:

Never left	Never ret'd	Returned after 1 sem	Returned after 2 or more sem	Total
2	0	2	8	12

TABLE 20
CREDITS CARRIED, SECOND SEMESTER AFTER RE-ADMIT
SPRING 1970 to FALL 1971

	0		1		2 - 4		5 - 7	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	35	4.9	0	0	4	26.7	4	40.0
Never returned	626	88.5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Returned after 1 semester	9	1.3	1	100.0	3	20.0	5	50.0
Returned after 2 or more semesters	37	5.2	0	0	8	53.3	1	10.0
Total	707	100.0	1	100.0	15	100.0	10	100.0

	8 - 10		11 - 13		14 - up		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	3	33.3	3	33.3	5	50.0	54	7.1
Never returned	0	0	0	0	0	0	626	82.3
Returned after 1 semester	1	11.1	2	22.2	3	30.0	24	3.1
Returned after 2 or more semesters	5	55.5	4	44.4	2	20.0	57	7.5
Total	9	100.0	9	100.0	10	100.0	761	100.0

TABLE 21
CUMULATIVE CREDITS (FINAL)
SPRING 1970 TO FALL 1971

	Never left		Never ret'd		Returned after 1 sem		Returned after 2 or more sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	0	0	0	0	1	4.2	0	0	1	0.1
1 - 12	5	9.3	281	44.9	2	8.3	11	19.3	299	39.3
13 - 24	12	22.2	159	25.4	4	16.7	20	35.1	195	25.6
25 - 36	7	13.0	81	12.9	4	16.7	7	12.3	99	13.0
37 - 48	5	9.3	43	6.9	4	16.7	7	12.3	59	7.7
49 - 60	7	13.0	34	5.4	4	16.7	3	5.3	48	6.3
61 - up	18	33.3	28	4.5	5	20.8	9	15.8	60	7.9
Total	54	100.0	626	100.0	24	100.0	57	100.0	761	100.0

TABLE 22
CUMULATIVE CREDITS (FINAL)
SPRING 1970 to FALL 1971

	0		1 - 12		13 - 24		25 - 36	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	0	0	5	1.7	12	6.1	7	7.1
Never returned	0	0	281	94.0	159	81.5	81	81.8
Returned after 1 semester	1	100.0	2	0.7	4	2.1	4	4.0
Returned after 2 or more semesters	0	0	11	3.7	20	10.3	7	7.1
Total	1	100.0	299	100.0	195	100.0	99	100.0

	37 - 48		49 - 60		61 - up		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	5	8.5	7	14.6	18	30.0	54	7.1
Never returned	43	72.9	34	70.8	28	46.7	626	82.3
Returned after 1 semester	4	6.8	4	8.3	5	8.3	24	3.1
Returned after 2 or more semesters	7	11.9	3	6.3	9	15.0	57	7.5
Total	59	100.0	48	100.0	60	100.0	761	100.0

TABLE 23
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF GRADUATES
SPRING 1970 TO FALL 1971

	Graduates N	Graduates %	Total students in study N	% of total in study %
Never left	11	57.9	54	20.4
Never returned	3	15.8	626	0.5
Returned after 1 semester	4	21.0	24	16.7
Returned after 2 or more semesters	1	5.3	57	1.8
Total	19	100.0	761	2.5

TABLE 24
PERCENT OF MALES AND FEMALES WITHIN EACH GROUP
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	Never left		Never ret'd		Ret'd after 1 sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Males	74	76.3	288	69.1	21	77.8	383	70.8
Females	23	23.7	129	30.9	6	22.2	158	29.2
Total	97	100.0	417	100.0	27	100.0	541	100.0

TABLE 25
PERCENT OF TOTAL MALES AND FEMALES BY GROUP
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	Males		Females		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	74	19.3	23	14.5	97	17.9
Never returned	288	75.2	129	81.6	417	77.1
Returned after 1 semester	21	5.5	6	3.8	27	5.0
Total	383	100.0	158	100.0	541	100.0

TABLE 26
MARITAL STATUS BY PERCENT WITHIN EACH GROUP
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	Never left		Never ret'd		Ret'd after 1 sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Single	82	84.5	316	75.8	22	81.5	420	77.6
Married	13	13.4	88	21.1	5	18.5	[illegible]	19.6
Other	2	2.1	13	3.1	0	0	15	2.8
Total	97	100.0	417	100.0	27	100.0	541	100.0

TABLE 27
MARITAL STATUS BY PERCENT BY GROUP
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	Single		Married		Other		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	82	19.5	13	12.3	2	13.3	97	17.9
Never returned	316	75.2	88	83.0	13	86.7	417	77.1
Returned after 1 semester	22	5.2	5	4.7	0	0	27	5.0
Total	420	100.0	106	100.0	15	100.0	541	100.0

TABLE 28
VETERANS STATUS BY PERCENT WITHIN EACH GROUP
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	Never left		Never ret'd		Ret'd after 1 sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Vet	17	17.5	76	18.2	12	44.4	105	19.4
Non-vet	80	82.5	341	81.8	15	55.5	436	80.6
Total	97	100.0	417	100.0	27	100.0	541	100.0

TABLE 29
VETERANS STATUS BY PERCENT BY GROUP
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	Vet		Non-vet		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	17	16.2	80	18.3	97	17.9
Never returned	76	72.4	341	78.2	417	77.1
Returned after 1 semester	12	11.4	15	3.4	27	5.0
Total	105	100.0	436	100.0	541	100.0

TABLE 30
GRADE-POINT AVERAGE, SEMESTER OF SUSPENSION
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	Never left		Never ret'd		Ret'd after 1 sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
0.00-0.50	52	53.6	351	84.2	20	74.1	423	78.2
0.51-1.00	21	21.6	38	9.1	3	11.1	62	11.5
1.01-1.50	9	9.3	13	3.1	0	0	22	4.1
1.51-2.00	11	11.3	10	2.4	4	14.8	25	4.6
2.01-up	4	4.1	5	1.2	0	0	9	1.7
Total	97	100.0	417	100.0	27	100.0	541	100.0

TABLE 31
GRADE-POINT AVERAGE, SEMESTER OF SUSPENSION
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	0.00-0.50		0.51-1.00		1.01-1.50	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	52	12.3	21	33.9	9	40.9
Never returned	351	83.0	38	61.3	13	59.1
Returned after 1 semester	20	4.7	3	4.8	0	0
Total	423	100.0	62	100.0	22	100.0

	1.51-2.00		2.01-up		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	11	44.0	4	44.4	97	17.9
Never returned	10	40.0	5	55.5	417	77.1
Returned after 1 semester	4	16.0	0	0	27	5.0
Total	25	100.0	9	100.0	541	100.0

TABLE 32
GRADE-POINT AVERAGE, RE-ADMIT SEMESTER
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	Never left		Never ret'd		Ret'd after 1 sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
0.00-0.50	46	47.4	417	100.0	11	40.7	474	87.6
0.51-1.00	5	5.1	0	0	0	0	5	0.9
1.01-1.50	13	13.4	0	0	1	3.7	14	2.6
1.51-2.00	12	12.4	0	0	6	22.2	18	3.3
2.01-2.50	7	7.2	0	0	1	3.7	8	1.5
2.51-3.00	8	8.2	0	0	7	25.9	15	2.8
3.01-3.50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.51-4.00	6	6.2	0	0	1	3.7	7	1.3
Total	97	100.0	417	100.0	27	100.0	541	100.0

TABLE 33
GRADE-POINT AVERAGE, RE-ADMIT SEMESTER
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	0.00-0.50		0.51-1.00		1.01-1.50		1.51-2.00		2.01-2.50	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	46	9.7	5	100.0	13	92.9	12	66.7	7	87.5
Never returned	417	88.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Returned after 1 semester	11	2.3	0	0	1	7.1	6	33.3	1	12.5
Total	474	100.0	5	100.0	14	100.0	18	100.0	8	100.0

	2.51-3.00		3.01-3.50		3.51-4.00		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	8	53.3	0	0	6	85.7	97	17.9
Never returned	0	0	0	0	0	0	417	77.1
Returned after 1 semester	7	46.7	0	0	1	14.3	27	5.0
Total	15	100.0	0	0	7	100.0	541	100.0

TABLE 34
GRADE-POINT AVERAGE, SECOND SEMESTER AFTER RE-ADMIT
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	Never left		Never ret'd		Ret'd after 1 sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
0.00[a]	85	87.6	417	100.0	23	85.2	525	97.0
0.01-0.50	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	1	0.2
0.51-1.00	1	1.0	0	0	1	3.7	2	0.4
1.01-1.50	1	1.0	0	0	2	7.4	3	0.5
1.51-2.00	4	4.1	0	0	1	3.7	5	0.9
2.01-2.50	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	1	0.2
2.51-3.00	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	1	0.2
3.01-3.50	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	1	0.2
3.51-4.00	2	2.1	0	0	0	0	2	0.4
Total	97	100.0	417	100.0	27	100.0	541	100.0

[a] These are 0.00 GPA's, most of which were not enrolled a second semester

Note: At the time of this data, the following students were enrolled for their first semester of re-admit:

Never left	Never ret'd	Ret'd after 1 sem	Total
13	0	3	16

TABLE 35
GRADE-POINT AVERAGE, SECOND SEMESTER AFTER RE-ADMIT
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	0.00		0.01-0.50		0.51-1.00		1.01-1.50		1.51-2.00	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	85	16.2	1	100.0	1	50.0	1	33.3	4	80.0
Never returned	417	79.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Returned after 1 semester	23	4.4	0	0	1	50.0	2	66.7	1	20.0
Total	525	100.0	1	100.0	2	100.0	3	100.0	5	100.0

	2.01-2.50		2.51-3.00		3.01-3.50		3.51-4.00		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	1	100.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	2	100.0	97	17.9
Never returned	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	417	77.1
Returned after 1 semester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	5.0
Total	1	100.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	2	100.0	541	100.0

TABLE 36
CUMULATIVE GRADE-POINT AVERAGE (FINAL)
SPRING 1972 to FALL 1974

	Never left		Never ret'd		Ret'd after 1 sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
0.00-0.50	23	23.7	248	59.5	5	18.5	276	51.0
0.51-1.00	20	20.6	59	14.1	4	14.8	83	15.3
1.01-1.50	23	23.7	64	15.3	9	33.3	96	17.7
1.51-2.00	23	23.7	46	11.0	8	29.6	77	14.2
2.01-2.50	6	6.2	0	0	1	3.7	7	1.3
2.51-3.00	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	1	0.2
3.01-3.50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.51-4.00	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	1	0.2
Total	97	100.0	417	100.0	27	100.0	541	100.0

TABLE 37
CUMULATIVE GRADE-POINT AVERAGE (FINAL)
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	0.00-0.50		0.51-1.00		1.01-1.50		1.51-2.00		2.01-2.50	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	23	8.3	20	24.1	23	23.9	23	29.9	6	85.7
Never returned	248	89.9	59	71.1	64	66.7	46	59.7	0	0
Returned after 1 semester	5	1.8	4	4.8	9	9.4	8	10.4	1	14.3
Total	276	100.0	83	100.0	96	100.0	77	100.0	7	100.0

	2.51-3.00		3.01-3.50		3.51-4.00		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	1	100.0	0	0	1	100.0	97	17.9
Never returned	0	0	0	0	0	0	417	77.1
Returned after 1 semester	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	5.0
Total	1	100.0	0	0	1	100.0	541	100.0

TABLE 38
CREDITS CARRIED, SEMESTER OF SUSPENSION
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	Never left		Never ret'd		Ret'd after 1 sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
0 - 1	2	2.1	5	1.2	1	3.7	8	1.5
2 - 4	13	13.4	140	33.6	9	33.3	162	29.9
5 - 7	23	23.7	82	19.7	7	25.9	112	20.7
8 - 10	28	28.9	79	18.9	4	14.8	111	20.5
11 - 13	18	18.5	64	15.3	5	18.5	87	16.1
14 - up	13	13.4	47	11.3	1	3.7	61	11.3
Total	97	100.0	417	100.0	27	100.0	541	100.0

TABLE 39
CREDITS CARRIED, SEMESTER OF SUSPENSION
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	0 - 1		2 - 4		5 - 7		8 - 10	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	2	25.0	13	8.0	23	20.5	28	25.2
Never returned	5	62.5	140	86.4	82	73.2	79	71.2
Returned after 1 semester	1	12.5	9	5.5	7	6.3	4	3.6
Total	8	100.0	162	100.0	112	100.0	111	100.0

	11 - 13		14 - up		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	18	20.7	13	21.3	97	17.9
Never returned	64	73.6	47	77.0	417	77.1
Returned after 1 semester	5	5.7	1	1.6	27	5.0
Total	87	100.0	61	100.0	541	100.0

TABLE 40
CREDITS CARRIED, RE-ADMIT SEMESTER
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	Never left		Never ret'd		Ret'd after 1 sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
0 - 1	9	9.3	417	100.0	3	11.1	429	79.3
2 - 4	26	26.8	0	0	8	29.6	34	6.3
5 - 7	21	21.6	0	0	11	40.7	32	5.9
8 - 10	19	19.6	0	0	2	7.4	21	3.9
11 - 13	20	20.6	0	0	2	7.4	22	4.1
14 - up	2	2.1	0	0	1	3.7	3	0.5
Total	97	100.0	417	100.0	27	100.0	541	100.0

TABLE 41
CREDITS CARRIED, RE-ADMIT SEMESTER
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	0 - 1		2 - 4		5 - 7		8 - 10	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	9	2.1	26	76.5	21	65.6	19	90.5
Never returned	417	97.2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Returned after 1 semester	3	0.7	8	23.5	11	34.4	2	9.5
Total	429	100.0	34	100.0	32	100.0	21	100.0

	11 - 13		14 - up		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	20	90.9	2	66.7	97	17.9
Never returned	0	0	0	0	417	77.1
Returned after 1 semester	2	9.1	1	33.3	27	5.0
Total	22	100.0	3	100.0	514	100.0

TABLE 42
CREDITS CARRIED, SECOND SEMESTER AFTER RE-ADMIT
SPRING 1972 to FALL 1974

	Never left		Never ret'd		Ret'd after 1 sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	76	78.3	417	100.0	21	77.8	514	95.0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2 - 4	6	6.2	0	0	2	7.4	8	1.5
5 - 7	5	5.1	0	0	1	3.7	6	1.1
8 - 10	7	7.2	0	0	1	3.7	8	1.5
11 - 13	3	3.1	0	0	1	3.7	4	0.7
14 - up	0	0	0	0	1	3.7	1	0.2
Total	97	100.0	417	100.0	27	100.0	541	100.0

Note: At the time of the data, the following students were enrolled for their first semester of re-admit:

Never left	Never ret'd	Ret'd after 1 sem	Total
13	0	3	16

TABLE 43
CREDITS CARRIED, SECOND SEMESTER AFTER RE-ADMIT
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	0		1		2 - 4		5 - 7	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	76	14.8	0	0	6	75.0	5	83.3
Never returned	417	81.1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Returned after 1 semester	21	4.1	0	0	2	2.50	1	16.7
Total	514	100.0	0	0	8	100.0	6	100.0

	8 - 10		11 - 13		14 - up		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	7	87.5	3	75.0	0	0	97	17.9
Never returned	0	0	0	0	0	0	417	77.1
Returned after 1 semester	1	12.5	1	25.0	1	100.0	27	5.0
Total	8	100.0	4	100.0	1	100.0	541	100.0

TABLE 44
CUMULATIVE CREDITS (FINAL)
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	Never left		Never ret'd		Ret'd after 1 sem		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1 - 12	14	14.3	234	56.1	9	33.3	257	47.5
13 - 24	20	20.6	82	19.7	5	18.5	107	19.8
25 - 36	22	22.7	38	9.1	4	14.8	64	11.8
37 - 48	10	10.3	14	3.3	1	3.7	25	4.6
49 - 60	13	13.4	23	5.5	1	3.7	37	6.8
61 - up	18	18.5	26	6.2	7	25.9	51	9.4
Total	97	100.0	417	100.0	27	100.0	541	100.0

TABLE 45
CUMULATIVE CREDITS (FINAL)
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	0		1 - 12		13 - 24		25 - 36	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	0	0	14	5.4	20	18.7	22	34.4
Never returned	0	0	234	91.1	82	76.6	38	59.4
Returned after 1 semester	0	0	9	3.5	5	4.7	4	6.3
Total	0	0	257	100.0	107	100.0	64	100.0

	37 - 48		49 - 60		61 - up		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Never left	10	40.0	13	35.1	18	35.3	97	17.9
Never returned	14	56.0	23	62.2	26	51.0	417	77.1
Returned after 1 semester	1	4.0	1	2.7	7	13.7	27	5.0
Total	25	100.0	37	100.0	51	100.0	541	100.0

TABLE 46
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF GRADUATES
SPRING 1972 TO FALL 1974

	Graduates N	Graduates %	Total students in study N	% of total in study %
Never left	2	100.0	97	2.0
Never returned	0	0	417	0
Returned after 1 semester	0	0	27	0
Total	2	100.0	541	0.3

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Campbell, L.H., and W. Hahn, "Readmission of Former Students After Absence from the Campus: Problems and Opportunities," College and University, Vol. 37, No. 2 (Winter, 1962) pp. 126-34.

Dole, A.A., "Prediction of Academic Success Upon Readmission to College," Journal of Counseling Psychology, Vol. 10 (Summer, 1963) pp. 169-75.

Lautz, Robert, G., Donald MacLean, Andrew T. Vaughan and Thomas C. Oliver, "Characteristics of Success Students Readmitted Following Academic Suspension," College and University, Vol. 45 (Winter, 1970) pp. 192-202.

Schuster, D.H., "Analysis of Flunked-Out and Readmitted Students," Journal of Educational Measurement. Vol. 8, (Fall, 1971) pp. 171-75.

ED 010 734
"Disqualified Students Admitted to the Fall, 1965 Semester," Bissiri, August, Los Angeles City College, California. Counseling Center Study 66-6, August, 1966.

ED 013 601
An Analysis of the Records of Students Entering El Camino College on Probation from other Institutions of Higher Learning by Muck, Steven J. and Uden, Jan. El Camino College, California, January, 1965.

ED 015 735
"Research on Readmitted Students to California Junior Colleges After Dismissal from University of California.

ED 041 548
"Student Probation and Dismissal in California Public Higher Education 1967-1968," California Coordinating Council for Higher Education, Sacramento. June 70. 42P.

ED 072 137
"An Evaluation of Operation Return," New York Centre for Field Research and School Services, November, 1969 160P.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIF.
LOS ANGELES

FEB 6 1976

CLEARINGHOUSE FOR
JUNIOR COLLEGES